arXiv:quant-ph/0112119v1 20 Dec 2001

Quantum Mechanics without Spacetime

- A Possible Case for Noncommutative Differential Geometry? -

T. P. Singh

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 005, India

email address: tpsingh@tifr.res.in

Abstract

The rules of quantum mechanics require a time coordinate for their formulation. However, a notion of time is in general possible only when a classical spacetime geometry exists. Such a geometry is itself produced by classical matter sources. Thus it could be said that the currently known formulation of quantum mechanics pre-assumes the presence of classical matter fields. A more fundamental formulation of quantum mechanics should exist, which avoids having to use a notion of time. In this paper we discuss as to how such a fundamental formulation could be constructed for single particle, non-relativistic quantum mechanics. We argue that there is an underlying non-linear theory of quantum gravity, to which both standard quantum mechanics and classical general relativity are approximations. The timeless formulation of quantum mechanics follows from the underlying theory when the mass of the particle is much smaller than Planck mass. On the other hand, when the particle's mass is much larger than Planck mass, spacetime emerges and the underlying theory should reduce to classical mechanics and general relativity. We also suggest that noncommutative differential geometry is a possible candidate for describing this underlying theory.

The rules of quantum mechanics require the concept of time, for their formulation. The time coordinate determines the choice of canonical position and momenta, the normalization of the wavefunction, and of course, the evolution of the quantum system. From the point of view of the special theory of relativity, time is a component of spacetime; furthermore the general theory of relativity endows the spacetime with a pseudo-Riemannean geometry. This geometry however, is determined by the distribution of *classical* matter - such matter is of course a limiting case of matter obeying the rules of quantum mechanics. Hence, via its dependence on time, quantum mechanics pre-assumes the existence of classical matter, whose very properties it should explain in the first place. A more fundamental formulation of

quantum mechanics should exist, which does not refer to a background time. (for a recent discussion on the difficulties associated with quantum clocks see e.g. [1]). The purpose of the present paper is to outline a proposal for such a fundamental formulation, which we call Fundamental Quantum Mechanics (FQM).

The need for FQM also follows from noting that the Universe could, in principle, be completely devoid of classical matter. For instance, the Universe could consist entirely of non-relativistic, non-classical microscopic particles, which would be described by standard non-relativistic quantum mechanics, if a background spacetime were to be available. Since here classical matter and consequently classical spacetime geometry are completely absent, FQM is necessary for describing this Universe. Under very special circumstances (suitably chosen quantum states etc.) such a Universe could be described by a semiclassical theory of gravity (classical gravity produced by quantum matter), but the semiclassical description is in general not valid (for a useful discussion see [2]). We observe that FQM can become necessary even if the typical energy scale of the particles in the system is much less than the Planck scale, and the particles are 'moving' non-relativistically.

In order to attempt tackling the simpler problem first, in this paper we address only the issue of a FQM for non-relativistic quantum mechanics. Even though its true that here time and space are absolute Newtonian concepts, they are nonetheless attributes of a classical world, and a nonrelativistic approximation to the geometric description provided by the special and general theories of relativity. It is therefore meaningful to search for an FQM corresponding to non-relativistic quantum mechanics. (for another discussion on quantum mechanics without time, see [3]).

We can learn about the properties of FQM by constructing a simple model of the Universe. Imagine, for the sake of visualization, the Universe to be a manifold which is a 2-sphere, with one angular coordinate representing space, and the other time (unphysical properties like closed timelike curves are not relevant here since the spherical topology is only chosen as a model which makes it easy to form pictures). Next, let us imagine that there is only one object in this Universe - a macroscopic, localized object of mass m_1. If m_1 can be treated classically, then it appears reasonable to propose that the spacetime on this manifold, as well its accompanying pseudo-Riemannean geometry, are due to this object. The object's own state is of course a trajectory in this spacetime, or equivalently, in the phase space. A key feature of this classical state is the absence of superpositions.

Next, we consider the case that the mass m_1 is so small that the object is no longer classical, but must be treated according to quantum mechanics.This would be straightforward if there were a background spacetime on the 2-

sphere. Now, however, we have a completely delocalized particle which can be thought of as residing on the 2-sphere, but there is no longer any Riemannean spacetime geometry on the manifold, nor any concepts of space and time. The particle, manifold and its pseudo-Riemannean geometry have all merged into one, in some sense. The dynamics of the system can be described, possibly, as a geometry of the 2-sphere - we call this dynamics Nonrelativistic Quantum Gravity (NQG). The state of the system can no longer be said to have a causal evolution, but exists as a whole, once and for all.

Another way to arrive at this conclusion is to consider the situation where the 2-sphere already possesses a classical spacetime geometry because of existent classical matter, and the value of the mass m_1 (now assumed to be a test particle) is gradually reduced. When m_1 is classical, its state is a spacetime trajectory, but when it becomes quantum mechanical, the state becomes an element of Hilbert space, labeled by a time coordinate. It is rather unnatural to imagine that as the value of m_1 is reduced, the state at some stage jumps from being in physical spacetime, to being in a Hilbert space. It is more natural to assume that the state of m_1 always belongs to the fundamental NQG 2-sphere - which looks like physical spacetime for large values of m_1 and like the direct product of a Hilbert space with time, for small values of m_1.

The only natural scale which separates a large classical value for the mass $m_1$from a small 'quantum mechanical' value should be determined by Planck mass $m_{Pl} = (\hbar c/G)^{1/2} \sim 10^{-5}$ gm. Now one expects, from observation, the mass scale separating the 'classical' from the 'quantum' to be smaller than m_{Pl} by a few orders of magnitude. However, for want of a better understanding, we will for the time being continue to refer to this separation scale as m_{Pl}, with the understanding that a more refined analysis will yield a somewhat smaller separation scale. For $m_1 \gg m_{Pl}$ (equivalently $\hbar \to 0$ or $G \to \infty$) NQG should reduce to a classical spacetime trajectory for the particle, and to the nonrelativistic Einstein equations (i.e. Newtonian gravity) for the emergent spacetime geometry. Since classical objects are never observed in superposed states, it has to be the case that NQG is a non-linear theory - two solutions of the theory cannot be superposed.

It is important to note that NQG is *not* the timeless description of non-relativistic quantum mechanics (i.e. FQM) that we are seeking. Unlike standard quantum mechanics and unlike FQM, NQG is non-linear, and involves the gravitational constant G, since it must also describe the gravitational effects of m_1. It is clear that if we take the limit $G \to 0$ (equivalently, $m_{Pl} \to \infty$ or $m_1 \ll m_{Pl}$) then NQG no longer describes the gravity of m_1, and should reduce to FQM: a linear theory which is the timeless formulation of standard non-relativistic quantum mechanics. In FQM too, the physical state of m_1 does not have a causal evolution, but exists as a timeless whole

on the 2-sphere.

We thus have the picture that the dynamics of the object m_1 on the 2-sphere is in general described by NQG - here spacetime geometry and matter cannot be separated from each other. NQG reduces on the one hand (when $m_1 \gg m_{Pl}$) to non-relativistic Einstein equations and classical mechanics (the coordinates of the sphere now become space and time), and on the other hand (when $m_1 \ll m_{Pl}$) to a timeless description of standard quantum mechanics.

The time-dependent equivalent version of the FQM for m_1 is obtained when the 2-sphere possesses a classical spacetime geometry due to other matter sources. This could happen, for instance, if there is present on the 2-sphere, another mass $m_2 \gg m_{Pl}$ which hence endows it with a classical spacetime. m_1 is now a test particle on this 2-sphere in the sense that $m_1 \ll m_{Pl} \ll m_2$. The FQM for m_1 now has the standard interpretation of non-relativistic quantum mechanics. It could be concluded from this discussion that there is an apparent time evolution in quantum mechanics only because the Universe today is dominated by classical matter, which produces classical spacetime. At a deeper level, quantum mechanics describes the physical state of the system m_1 not as an evolution in time, but as a dynamics in which spacetime and matter cannot be separated.

Important clues as to the nature of NQG can be obtained by examining the possible gravitational interaction a test particle m_1 has with the background gravitational field provided by the particle m_2. The various possibilities are shown in the Table below, depending on how m_1 and m_2 compare with m_{Pl}.

$m_2 \rightarrow$:	$m_2 \ll m_{Pl}$,	$m_2 \sim m_{Pl}$,	$m_2 \gg m_{Pl}$
$m_1 \downarrow$			
$m_1 \ll m_{Pl}$	NO	$Test1$	$NRQM$
$m_1 \sim m_{Pl}$	X	NQG	$Test2$
$m_1 \gg m_{Pl}$	X	X	GR

The 11 entry (NO) indicates that gravitational interaction is absent when both the masses are much smaller than m_{Pl}. The 21 and 31 entries (X) indicate that in these cases m_1 is not a test particle. The 12 entry (Test1) is the most important, as this is the domain where laboratory experiments could in principle search for possible signatures of NQG , by studying the gravitational interaction of a quantum mechanical particle (m_1) with the NQG 'gravitational field' of m_2. The 22 entry (NQG) represents the genuine gravitational interaction of two particles in NQG: this would describe the dynamics that replaces Newtonian gravity in a quantum theory of gravity. The 13 (NRQM) entry is the standard non-relativistic quantum mechanics

on a background spacetime, whereas the 23 entry (Test2) probes the response of a particle in NQG to a classical spacetime geometry. The final 33 entry is Newtonian gravity (nonrelativistic GR). Looking at the last column, since both the first (NRQM) and the third (GR) entry satisfy the equivalence principle, one could expect that NQG on a background spacetime (middle entry Test2) satisfies the equivalence principle too - a possible indicator that NQG is a generally covariant theory.

We have been able to infer some properties of nonrelativistic quantum gravity by starting from the premise that there should be a description of quantum mechanics which does not refer to a time coordinate. The theory to which one is led (NQG) has as its limits both quantum mechanics ($G \to 0$) as well as nonrelativistic gravity ($\hbar \to 0$). It is hence possible that the dynamics of NQG (in our model the dynamics of the 2-sphere) is described by a noncommutative differential geometry (NDG) [4], because NDG has within itself, as special cases, a commutative spacetime geometry which describes spacetime and gravity, and also, a noncommutative algebra structure which can describe quantum mechanics on an ordinary spacetime.

An outline of the dynamics of NQG on the 2-sphere is suggested here. We shall assume that the 2-sphere is a noncommutative space on which the algebra of functions is in general noncommuting. Let A and B denote the 'coordinates' on the 2-sphere, and let there be, associated with these 'coordinates', 'momenta' p_A and p_B. These four quantities are assumed to describe a particle m on the 2-sphere. We propose, on the 2-sphere, commutation relations of the following kind:

$$[A, B] = iL_{Pl}^2 F_1(m/m_{Pl})$$
$$[A, p_A] = [B, p_B] = i\hbar F_2(m/m_{Pl})$$
$$[p_A, p_B] = i\frac{\hbar^2}{L_{Pl}^2} F_3(m/m_{Pl})$$

The functions F_1, F_2 and F_3 are assumed to go to zero in the limit $m \gg m_{Pl}$. Thus all the four quantities describing the particle become commuting in the large mass limit. Now one may identify A and B with ordinary spacetime coordinates on the 2-sphere, and p_A, p_B with ordinary energy and momentum.

In the limit $m \ll m_{Pl}$ these commutation relations describe FQM. Since a background spacetime is absent, the function $F_1(m/m_{Pl})$ has to be nonvanishing in this limit. FQM is hence described in terms of quantities which are not standard spacetime coordinates and momenta. This description becomes equivalent to standard quantum mechanics in the presence of an external spacetime, though it is unclear at present as to how that happens. The

presence of the mass m in the commutation relation [A,B] should be seen as analogous to the fact that in Riemannean geometry the non-commutativity of covariant derivatives is determined by the Riemann tensor, which itself is related to the distribution of matter. Hence we are suggesting that at a fundamental level not only the covariant derivatives, but the coordinates as well, do not commute, and the non-commutativity of the latter may also be related to matter.

The physical state of the system in NQG is the noncommutative analog of a vector field, or equivalently a noncommutative analog of a derivation, on the 2-sphere. Furthermore, associated with the differential structure of the A, B space there is a concept of curvature of the noncommutative space. The exact implementation of the notion of curvature in NDG is at present an issue that has not been fully resolved [5]. We propose that this curvature is induced by the presence of the mass m, in the spirit of general relativity. In the commutative limit the dynamical equation relating curvature to m reduces to Einstein's equations. The detailed nature of the dynamics of NQG in the language of noncommutative geometry is under investigation.

It appears to us that, like spacetime, the metric is also an emergent concept, valid only when the Universe is dominated by classical matter. Our non-relativistic considerations here cannot explain the Lorentzian signature for the emergent metric. We hope to address relativistic generalizations of these ideas in the near future.

Our proposal for the conceptual structure of NQG has, built within itself, the attractive possibility of addressing the issues of wave function collapse during a quantum measurement, and the EPR paradox. From our viewpoint, during a quantum measurement, there is a sudden jump in the value of m_1 from the range $m \ll m_{Pl}$ to the range $m \gg m_{Pl}$ (as a microscopic quantum system comes into contact with a macroscopic measuring apparatus). The associated geometry changes from the delocalized, timeless description of the 2-sphere on the NQG, to a classical spacetime trajectory - this could help understand the apparently acausal change associated with an EPR measurement. Also, a proper understanding of NQG could explain the origin of the $\mid \psi \mid^2$ probability rule which comes into play during a quantum measurement. The apparent breakdown of the unitarity of the Schrodinger equation during a measurement is possibly associated with the fact that NQG makes a transition from being a linear theory for $m \ll m_{Pl}$ to being the non-linear theory which it actually is, when $m \gg m_{Pl}$. Our picture also supports Penrose's idea that gravity is involved in the collapse of the wave function [6].

It is also of interest to investigate what possible connection this work might have with string theory and M-theory, wherein the position coordinates of a D-brane become non-commuting.

We conclude by suggesting that Einstein's criticism that quantum mechanics is incomplete may now be understood as the theory having to refer to a background time. A version of the theory which does not refer to such a background time possibly removes this incompleteness.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Sukratu Barve, Pankaj Joshi and Cenalo Vaz for useful discussions. I acknowledge the partial support of FCT, Portugal under contract number SAPIENS/32694/99.

References

[1] S. Carlip, *Quantum Gravity: a Progress Report*, gr-qc/0108040, Rept. Prog. Phys. 64, 885 (2001).

[2] Chung-I Kuo and L. H. Ford, *Semiclassical Gravity Theory and Quantum Fluctuations*, Phys. Rev. D47, 4510 (1993).

[3] C. Rovelli, *Quantum mechanics without time: a model*, Phys. Rev. D42, 2638 (1990).

[4] Alain Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press (1994).

[5] J. Madore, *An Introduction to Noncommutative Differential Geometry and its Physical Applications*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press (1999), Chapter 3.

[6] R. Penrose, Gen. Rel. Grav., 28, 581 (1996).